

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/809,391 03/26/2004 | | Ti-Li Chang | P05029 | 7574 | |
| 40401 | 7590 12/17/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | VITZ & ASSOCIATES | RAGONESE, ANDREA M | | | |
| | ET NW, SUITE 300 ON, DC 20006 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 3743 | - | |

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/809,391 | | CHANG, TI-LI | CIN | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Ragonese | 3743 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply | is communication a | appears on the | cover sheet with | the correspondence ac | ddress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above is lefunded in the period for reply is specified above, the failure to reply within the set or extended any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Center of the control of | COMMUNICATION or the provisions of 37 CFR ate of this communication. It is than thirty (30) days, a reason the maximum statutory period for reply will, by state three months after the ma | N. 1.136(a). In no eve reply within the statu od will apply and wi tute, cause the appl | ent, however, may a reply utory minimum of thirty (3 Il expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABAN | y be timely filed 30) days will be considered time IS from the mailing date of this of IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. communication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communic | ation(s) filed on <u>26</u> | March 2004. | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. | ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance wit | h the practice unde | r Ex parte Qu | <i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) | | rawn from cor | nsideration. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are re 7)□ Claim(s) is/are ob | - | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | • | · | | | | |
| 9) The specification is object | ed to by the Evami | nor | | | | | | |
| 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 | • | | ted or h)⊠ objec | ted to by the Evamine | r | | | |
| Applicant may not request the | | • | | - | •• | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet | | | • | ` ' | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is | • • | • | • , | • | ` ' | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the certified | None of: the priority docume the priority docume | ents have beel | n received. n received in App | olication No | Stage | | | |
| application from the | e International Bure | eau (PCT Rule | e 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed | Office action for a li | st of the certif | ied copies not re | ceived. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 |) | | 4) Interview Sun | nmary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw | ing Review (PTO-948) | | Paper No(s)/N | //ail Date | 0.450) | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date | P1O-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | 18) | 6) Other: | rmal Patent Application (PT | 0-132) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, (i) the primary tube front end, (ii) the secondary tube rear end and front end and (iii) the back plate rear end, front end and side edge, as claimed in **claim 1**, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,391 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

4. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: some numerals correspond to different elements throughout specification. Starting on page 5 and those following, element **21** is used to describe both "an extended tongue" and "a tip." For consistency and clarification purposes, it is requested that applicant uses the same element description throughout specification to accurately describe claimed invention. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,391

Art Unit: 3743

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pagan (US 6,012,452) in view of Brain (US 4,509,514). Pagan discloses a laryngeal mask airway comprising all the limitations recited in claims 1-2, with the exception of an inflation balloon. However, the use of an inflation balloon as part of an inflatable laryngeal mask airway apparatus was known at the time the invention was made. Specifically, Brain teaches the use of an inflation balloon 24 for indicating that the mask is being inflated. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mask of Pagan by adding an inflation balloon inline with the air line connector because it is well known in the art, as

Page 4

Double Patenting

functioning by passing air through the airline to the mask for inflation.

taught by Brain, to utilize an inflation balloon in order to indicate the system is properly

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,391

Art Unit: 3743

10. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be

used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly

owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

11. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a

terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with

37 CFR 3.73(b).

12. Claims 1-2 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3-8 of U.S. Patent No.

6,012,452. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

distinct from each other because they both have a dual airway; a back plate; a laryngeal

mask; and an opening.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrea M. Ragonese whose telephone number is 571-

272-4804. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30

am until 5:00 pm.

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/809,391

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3743

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

AMR MWW December 13, 2004

Henry Bennett
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 6